HARMEET SINGH SEHGAL V/S STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with

Mr. RPS Jammu, Advocate, for the petitioner

---

Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.17 dated 10.3.2025, under

Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC and Section 7-A of

Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station Vigilance

Bureau, Patiala, District Patiala, the petitioner has preferred this petition

under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking

pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia, contends that the complaint which has culminated

into the FIR in question was given in 2019 but the Vigilance Bureau

continued to sit over the same for about 5 years, the petitioner had duly

appeared before the concerned official as and when he was called upon

before registration of the FIR i.e. during the course of inquiry by the

Vigilance Bureau, the petitioner is willing to join investigation and

cooperate therein & his custodial interrogation in the factual milieu of lis

in hand would only result in ignominy.

Notice of motion.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of

the respondent/State.

Adjourned to 8.5.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating

Officer on 21.4.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join

investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on

CRM-M-19791-2025

-2-

interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the

satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when

further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the

investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section

482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Before parting with this order, a pertinent aspect of the matter is

required to be delved into. The complaint which resulted into registration

of the FIR in question is stated to have been given on 11.7.2019 but, for

some cause, the Vigilance Bureau has chosen to sit over the complaint for

about 5 years as the FIR on the basis thereof was registered only in March

2025. The situation appears to be inexplicable *nay* deplorable. *Ergo*; the

Chief Director, State Vigilance Bureau, Punjab is directed to look into the

matter and file an affidavit delineating therein the cause for and

justification behind continuation of an inquiry for a period of about 5 years

without registration of an FIR.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

16.4.2025

Achumni