



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. OF 2025
[arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 9925 OF 2025]

RAUSHAN SINHA

APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF TELANGANA

RESPONDENT

ORDER

1. Leave granted.
2. By the impugned judgment and order dated 3rd April, 2025, the High Court for the State of Telangana has rejected the appellant's prayer for bail in anticipation of arrest.
3. The appellant figures as an accused in FIR No. 1619/2024 dated 2nd July, 2024 registered with Police Station Cyber Crimes, Hyderabad. It is alleged in the FIR that the appellant has committed offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 352, 353(2), 353(1)(c) and 336(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.
4. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant as well as the respondents.
5. The police report (chargesheet) has been filed on 2nd July, 2025.

However, charges are yet to be framed. Appellant's arrest was not required during the time the investigation was in progress. Having regard to the nature of accusation levelled, we are of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the appellant is not required and that there is sufficient ground for the appellant to be admitted to an order for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest.

6. Accordingly, the impugned judgment and order stands set aside.

7. It is directed that in the event of the appellant being arrested in connection with proceedings arising out of the aforesaid FIR, he shall be released on bail by the arresting/investigating officer/trial court on terms and conditions to be fixed by the trial court.

8. Needless to observe, as and when called upon to do so by the investigating officer, the appellant shall continue to join further investigation, if conducted, and also co-operate in the ensuing trial. He shall not, directly or indirectly, by inducement, threat or promise, dissuade any person acquainted with the facts of the case from disclosing such facts to any police officer or to the court.

9. It is made clear that in the event the appellant breaches any of the terms and conditions imposed by it, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of the appellant.

10. We clarify that the observations made in this order and grant of bail will not be treated as findings on the merits of the case.

11. The appeal is allowed on the above terms.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[ARAVIND KUMAR]

**New Delhi;
November 11, 2025.**

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.9

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).9925/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-04-2025 in CRP No. 4364/2025 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

RAUSHAN SINHA**Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****STATE OF TELANGANA****Respondent(s)**

**IA No. 158842/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE
 IMPUGNED JUDGMENT**

IA No. 158844/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 11-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR**

**For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Ashish K Dixit, Adv.
 Mr. Shivam Tiwari, Adv.
 Mr. Umar Hashmi, Adv.
 Mr. Sujeet Ranjan, Adv.
 Ms. Astha Deep, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) :Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
 Mr. Srikanth Varma Mudunuru, Adv.
 Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R**

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.

**(JATINDER KAUR)
 P.S. to REGISTRAR**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
 COURT MASTER (NSH)**