

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD LUCKNOW

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 2948 of 2025

Vikram Singh	
<u> </u>	Applicant(s)

Versus

Ms, Jasjit Kaur Dist. Magistrate Bijnor Chairman Dist. Level Caste Scrutiny Committee Dist. Bijnor

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) Vipul Shukla

Counsel for Opposite Party(s)

Court No. - 4

HON'BLE ABDUL MOIN, J.

- 1. Heard.
- 2. Issue notice to respondent to show cause as to why the proceedings for contempt of the Court be not initiated against him for non-compliance of the judgment and order dated 22.04.2025 passed in Writ - C No. 3777 of 2025.
- 3. List as fresh on the date to be fixed by the office in the notice.
- 4. The authorities while serving notice on the respondent/contemnor shall strictly adhere to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 07.06.2021 in Contempt No.670 of 2021 in re: Syed Amjad Husain vs. Navneet Sahgal, Additional Chief Secretary, Info. & Public Relation, Lucknow and another.

(Abdul Moin,J.)

September 15, 2025



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD LUCKNOW

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 2948 of 2025

Vikram Singh		Applicant(s)
Versus		
Ms, Jasjit Kaur Dist. Magistrate Bijnor C Dist. Level Caste Scrutiny Committee Di		Opposite Party(s)
Counsel for Applicant(s) Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Vipul Shukla
Court No 8 HON'BLE MANISH	I KUMAR,	J.
Learned Standing Counsel prays for and filing compliance affidavit.	d is grante	d two weeks' time for
List thereafter.		
November 6, 2025		(Manish Kumar,J.

Ashish



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD LUCKNOW

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 2948 of 2025

Vikram Singh		Applicant(s)
Versu	ıs	
Ms, Jasjit Kaur Dist. Magistrate Bijnor Dist. Level Caste Scrutiny Committee		Opposite Party(s)
Counsel for Applicant(s) Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Vipul Shukla

Court No. - 8

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR, J.

As per office report dated 04.11.2025, notice was served upon the respondent for 06.11.2025 and thereafter, the case was listed on 06.11.2025, on that date, learned Standing Counsel prayed for and was granted two weeks' time for filing compliance affidavit but he has informed that the respondent has never ever contacted the Office of the Chief Standing Counsel after receiving the notice.

Let the bailable warrant issued to the respondent through C.J.M, Bijnor for ensuring his presence before this Court by the next date of listing.

List this case in the week commencing 05.01.2026.

(Manish Kumar,J.)

November 20, 2025

Nitesh