

ITEM NO.55

COURT NO.5

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22367/2024
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-09-2024
in IA No. 3695/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at
Bombay]

UDITA NABHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RANJEET NABHA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 279122/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 220257/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT, IA No. 220888/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 03-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sriram Parakkat, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Jatin Sehgal, adv.
Devna Soni, Adv.
Kunal Vaishnav, Adv.
Shivashish Dwivedi, Adv.
Yashi Bajpai, Adv.
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, AOR

Mr. Rohaan Cama, Adv.
Ms. Asiya Khan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner
and learned counsel appearing for the respective respondents.

In the first instance, we observe that the pendency of
this special leave petition would not come in the way of the
High Court disposing of the main appeals filed by the
respective parties. Secondly, pursuant to earlier order

Contd..

passed by this Court on 25.09.2024, it is stated by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that there has been compliance of the said order. However, he submitted that there is a demand for USD 40,257 (approximately Rs.35,00,000/-) which has to be paid towards educational and other needs of respondent no.2. In this regard, it was brought to our notice that a sum of rupees 8.25 crores was deposited by respondent no.1 herein before the High Court and out of which a sum of rupees two crores has been allowed to be released to the petitioner herein.

In the circumstances, we direct that respondent no.2 - Naia/Nalin shall make an application before the Bombay High Court indicating the exact amount necessary for educational and other expenses as respondent no.2 - Naia/Nalin is presently admitted at the Columbia University. Having regard to the figure to be indicated by respondent no.2 herein, the High Court shall pass an order for release of the appropriate amount from and out of a sum of rupees 8.25 crores totally deposited and now presently stated to be around rupees six crores approximately.

It is needless to observe that this order is made as a further arrangement for the education of Naia/Nalin pending disposal of the main matter between the parties by the High Court as expeditiously as possible preferably within three months.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(DIVYA BABBAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

ITEM NO.55

CORRECTED FOR APPEARANCE
COURT NO.5 SECTION IX
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22367/2024
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-09-2024
in IA No. 3695/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at
Bombay]

UDITA NABHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RANJEET NABHA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 279122/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 220257/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT, IA No. 220888/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 03-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sriram Parakkat, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Jatin Sehgal, adv.
Devna Soni, Adv.
Kunal Vaishnav, Adv.
Shivashish Dwivedi, Adv.
Yashi Bajpai, Adv.
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, AOR

Mr. Rohaan Cama, Adv.
Ms. Asiya Khan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner
and learned counsel appearing for the respective respondents.

In the first instance, we observe that the pendency of
this special leave petition would not come in the way of the
High Court disposing of the main appeals filed by the

Contd..

respective parties. Secondly, pursuant to earlier order passed by this Court on 25.09.2024, it is stated by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that there has been compliance of the said order. However, he submitted that there is a demand for USD 40,257 (approximately Rs.35,00,000/-) which has to be paid towards educational and other needs of respondent no.2. In this regard, it was brought to our notice that a sum of rupees 8.25 crores was deposited by respondent no.1 herein before the High Court and out of which a sum of rupees two crores has been allowed to be released to the petitioner herein.

In the circumstances, we direct that respondent no.2 - Naia/Nalin shall make an application before the Bombay High Court indicating the exact amount necessary for her educational and other expenses as he is presently admitted at the Columbia University. Having regard to the figure to be indicated by him, the High Court shall pass an order for release of the appropriate amount from and out of a sum of rupees 8.25 cores totally deposited and now presently stated to be around rupees six crores approximately.

It is needless to observe that this order is made as a further arrangement for the education of Naia/Nalin pending disposal of the main matter between the parties by the High Court as expeditiously as possible preferably within three months.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(DIVYA BABBAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22367/2024
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-09-2024
in IA No. 3695/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at
Bombay]

UDITA NABHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RANJEET NABHA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 279122/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 220257/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT, IA No. 220888/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 03-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Sriram Parakkat, Adv.
Rongon Chaudhary, Adv.
Maneesha Sunilkumar, Adv.
Anandhu S Nair, Adv.
Mr. Sriram P., AOR

For Respondent(s) : Jatin Sehgal, adv.
Devna Soni, Adv.
Kunal Vaishnav, Adv.
Shivashish Dwivedi, Adv.
Yashi Bajpai, Adv.
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, AOR

Mr. Rohaan Cama, Adv.
Ms. Asiya Khan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner
and learned counsel appearing for the respective respondents.

In the first instance, we observe that the pendency of
this special leave petition would not come in the way of the
High Court disposing of the main appeals filed by the

Contd..

respective parties. Secondly, pursuant to earlier order passed by this Court on 25.09.2024, it is stated by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that there has been compliance of the said order. However, he submitted that there is a demand for USD 40,257 (approximately Rs.35,00,000/-) which has to be paid towards educational and other needs of respondent no.2. In this regard, it was brought to our notice that a sum of rupees 8.25 crores was deposited by respondent no.1 herein before the High Court and out of which a sum of rupees two crores has been allowed to be released to the petitioner herein.

In the circumstances, we direct that respondent no.2 - Naia/Nalin shall make an application before the Bombay High Court indicating the exact amount necessary for her educational and other expenses as he is presently admitted at the Columbia University. Having regard to the figure to be indicated by him, the High Court shall pass an order for release of the appropriate amount from and out of a sum of rupees 8.25 cores totally deposited and now presently stated to be around rupees six crores approximately.

It is needless to observe that this order is made as a further arrangement for the education of Naia/Nalin pending disposal of the main matter between the parties by the High Court as expeditiously as possible preferably within three months.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(DIVYA BABBAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

