HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: Writ Petition (PIL) No.58 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

S. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
5.	27.11.2025	HCJ (AKrS,J) & GMM, J	
		Ms. Vasudha Nagaraj, learned counsel	
		appears for the petitioner.	Note:
		Mr. T.Rajinikanth Reddy, learned	Transferred to (i/o) folder before corrections, if any. B/o
		Additional Advocate General for the State of	lur
		Telangana appears for respondents No.1	
		to 6, 8 and 9.	
		Mr. Zeeshan Adnan Mahmood, learned	
		Standing Counsel for Telangana State	
		Pollution Control Board appears for	
		respondent No.7.	
		Ms. Rubaina S.Khatoon, learned	
		counsel appears for respondent No.10.	
		Mr. R.Chandra Shekar Reddy, learned	
		counsel appears for the proposed respondent	
		No.11, who has filed I.A.No.8 of 2025	
		seeking impleadment as such.	
		This matter was adjourned	
		on 04.11.2025 with a clear direction to the	
		respondents-State to disclose the progress in	
		the investigation and compensation with the	

breakup awarded in favour of the kith and kin of the deceased and the injured workmen either statutorily or as per the undertaking of respondent No.10 - Company, after filing of the last affidavit.

Respondent No.1 has filed counteraffidavit. The details of compensation paid in respect of the deceased workmen, injured workmen and missing workmen are enclosed as annexures 2, 3 and 4 respectively.

So far as the progress in investigation is concerned, only Paragraph 15, which makes a reference, is extracted hereunder to indicate the status of the investigation:

"It is humbly submitted that the investigating team have examined a total of 237 witnesses as part of the investigation, the details of the witnesses are attached herein as Annexure 5. It is submitted that the investigation is still underway, and about 15 more witnesses still have to be examined before any conclusive charge sheet can be filed."

The incident of such magnitude, which occurred on 30.06.2025, in which apparently 54 workmen died, is still languishing with the investigating agency. Paragraph 15 quoted above does not indicate

as to whether, upon examination of 237 witnesses, the police have been able to form an opinion as to the offence made out and the offenders responsible for such an incident.

Annexure 5 referred to in Paragraph 15 has not been enclosed to the counteraffidavit. However, the same has been placed before this Court by the learned Additional Advocate General. A perusal of the details of witnesses shows that the relatives of the deceased and injured workmen and some of the eye witnesses have been examined whereas none of the officials of the regulating agency or the employees of respondent No.10 - Company has been examined to reach to the root of the matter.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State submits that the report of the expert committee has been handed over to the investigating agency led by the Deputy Superintendent of Police only recently. They would be acting upon it.

In the aforesaid state of affairs, we deem it necessary to direct the Investigating Officer to be present before this Court on the

next date with the relevant records, case diary *etc.*, connected with the investigation.

Respondent No.10 has appeared on notice through Ms. Rubaina S.Khatoon, learned counsel. She seeks, and is allowed, two weeks time to file response.

Let the matter appear on 09.12.2025 at 2:15 p.m.

HCJ (AKrS,J)

GMM, J

LUR